



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,084	07/08/2003	Schat Sutardja	MP0005REC2	8314
26703	7590	07/11/2007		EXAMINER
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE P.L.C.				WELLS, KENNETH B
5445 CORPORATE DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 200				2816
TROY, MI 48098				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,084	SUTARDJA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kenneth B. Wells	2816

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 May 2007.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 47-114 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 47-114 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

1. The amendment filed on 5/29/07 has been received and entered in the case.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
3. Claims 47-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The original specification and drawings do not support the new limitation that the controllable current signals are applied to the respective amplifier cells "independent of other ones of the plurality of amplifier cells." Rather, it is only stated in the originally filed specification that the individual cells "may be selectively enabled or disabled" which is not the same thing as enabling them independent of each other. Thus, the amended claims do not comply with the written description requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

4. Claims 47-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Hisatu, Altmann et al, Hotta and Andricos.

See paragraphs three through six of the previous office action mailed on 2/26/07 for the details of these rejections. As to the new limitation that the second input is in communication with a controllable current signal, this is inherent in each of the references, i.e., the control signal varies the current of the tail current source of each of the amplifiers. As to the limitation that the controllable current signal enables or disables each of the respective amplifier cells "independent of other ones of the plurality of amplifier cells", as noted above this limitation does not have support in the originally filed specification or drawings. To the extent applicant has support for the individual cells being selectively enabled or disabled, this is clearly anticipated by each of the above-noted references, i.e., in each reference the individual cells are selectively enabled or disabled in response to separate, respective control inputs. Thus, the present amendments to the claims do not distinguish over the previously applied prior art, and therefore each of the rejections is maintained.

Art Unit: 2816

5. Claims 47-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kornfeld et al.

See paragraph seven of the previous office action mailed on 2/26/07 for the details of this rejection. As to the new limitation that the second input is in communication with a controllable current signal, this is inherent in Kornfeld et al, i.e., the control signal varies the current of the tail current source of each of the amplifiers. As to the limitation that the controllable current signal enables or disables each of the respective amplifier cells "independent of other ones of the plurality of amplifier cells", as noted above this limitation does not have support in the originally filed specification or drawings. To the extent applicant has support for the individual cells being selectively enabled or disabled, this is clearly anticipated by Kornfeld et al, i.e., in this reference the individual cells are selectively enabled or disabled in response to separate, respective control inputs. Thus, the present amendments to the claims do not distinguish over Kornfeld et al, and therefore this rejection is maintained.

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth B. Wells whose telephone number is (571)272-1757. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Pascal, can be reached at (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the

Art Unit: 2816

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Kenneth B. Wells
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2816

July 6, 2007